SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S145324 B176934 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 **PEOPLE v. HARTMANN (MARTIN T.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 27, 2006.

S145327 E038321/E039572 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. KANEHE (HOWARD K.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 27, 2006.

S145412 D046986 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. HUNTER (JOHN K.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 26, 2006.

S145437 B179596 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. CISNEROS (JESUS)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2006.

S145467 B179691 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. HARRISON (LARRY L.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 30, 2006.

S145472 A114500 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **LETTIER (KEITH) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 27, 2006.

S145484 A108492 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. AZAM (ZAMEER R.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2006.

S145493 A104363 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. SCHOPPE-RICO (JOHN M.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 30, 2006.

S145502 A106798 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. PARNELL (KENNETH)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 30, 2006.

S145538 G036225 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 (**JOSEPH**), **IN RE**The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 5, 2006.

S145543 G035594 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. PARRISH (ROBERT E.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 1, 2006.

S145553 B187823 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **V. (BABY BOY), IN RE** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2006.

S145557 H028846 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DELGADO (FRANK G.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2006.

S145568 G034035 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. STROTHER (STEVE M.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 1, 2006.

S145578 F046874 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LOBRETTO (TIMOTHY A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2006.

S145584 A110096 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. REED (LAWRENCE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2006.

S145587 H028073 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LEGRANDE (REYES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2006.

S145593 H025445 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RISTAU (STEVEN A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2006.

S145602 E040903 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

JONES (STEVE L.) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2006.

S145604 A107450/A112282 First Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. STROMBERG (SPENCER W.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2006,.

S145617 G032682 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. DENNEY (DARREN T.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2006.

S145664

A097996 First Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. RIDER (VANCE L.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2006.

S145682

C050589 Third Appellate District

SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES v.

W.C.A.B. (PLACERVILLE

CHIROPARACTIC) AND SPORTS CLINIC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 6, 2006.

S145683

A110731 First Appellate District, Div. 1

P. (RONALD), IN RE

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 7, 2006.

S145692 B192092 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 GRANT (RALPH) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2006.

S145707 A108850 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MISQUEZ (BRIAN A.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2006.

S145714 E040877 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 MEZHBEIN (ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2006.

S145717 G036257 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BARRAGAN-GALVEZ (AGUSTIN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 7, 2006.

S145718 D046077 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VERHEGGEN (RONALD M.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2006.

S145723 B182323 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. PAREDES (CARLOS F.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2006.

S033901 PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (CATHERINE)

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to November 27, 2006.

S062180 PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (RICHARD)

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Raoul Schonemann's representation that the anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief January 10, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 28, 2006. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 43 additional days is contemplated.

S072316 PEOPLE v. GONZALES (VERONICA)

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 14, 2006.

S073823 PEOPLE v. BUENROSTRO (DORA)

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Nina Rivkind's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 27, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 4, 2006. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted.

PEOPLE v. HARTSCH (CISCO)

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Felicity Senoski's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by February 2, 2007, counsel's request of an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 1, 2006. After that dare, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S075136

PEOPLE v. COVARRUBIAS (DANIEL S.)

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas Lundy's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 15, 2006, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 20, 2006. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 days is contemplated.

S107782

WELCH (DAVID E.) ON H.C.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Wesley A. Van Winkle's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to return to order show cause by the end of the November 2006, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that documents granted to October 30, 2006. After that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days is contemplated.

S136672

DEPRIEST (TIMOTHY L.) ON H.C.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas Nishi's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by March 31, 2007, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 4, 2006. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted.

S139654

BARRETTO (OSCAR A.) ON H.C.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including October 25, 2006.

S140077

WALLACE (KEONE) ON H.C.

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to November 20, 2006.

S140417

VILLALOBOS ABRAHAM) ON H.C.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to October 25, 2006.

S144813

D045218 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

BERGLUND (DANIEL L.) v. ARTHROSCOPIC &LASER SURGERY CENTER SAN DIEGO

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to November 21, 2006.

PEOPLE v. LETNER (RICHARD L.) & TOBIN (CHRISTOPHER A.)

"Appellant Letner's motion to File a Reply Brief in excess of 47,600 Words" is granted.

S120238 H023584 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. MODIRI (SHEA M.)** Due to clerical error, the remittitur issued on September 20, 2006, in the above entitled matter is hereby vacated.

S132972 C044653 Third Appellate District VINEYARD AREA CITIZENS FOR

RESPONSIBLE GROWTH v. CITY OF CORDOVA; SUNRISE DOUGLAS PROPERY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

Appellant's motion to strike portions of Respondent's and Real Parties in Interest's briefs answering briefs answering briefs of amici curiae, filed on February 7, 2006, is denied.

S132972 C044653Third Appellate District

VINEYARD AREA CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH v. CITY OF CORDOVA; SUNRISE DOUGLAS PROPERY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

Respondent's and Real Parties in Interest's request for judicial notice, filed on January 1, 2006, is denied.

S145168

OBREGON ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **RIGOBERTO V. OBREGON, State Bar No. 130589**, be suspended from the practice of law for nine months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 18 months subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 1, 2006, as modified by its order filed June 21, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S145169

MORKEN ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **JOHN F. MORKEN**, **State Bar No. 153979**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 31, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S145170 JEING ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **THOMAS CHING JEING, State Bar No. 157795**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for one year. **Thomas Ching Jeing** is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 21, 2006, as modified by its order filed April 27, 2006. It is also ordered that **Thomas Ching Jeing** take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) **Thomas Ching Jeing** is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code § 6126, subd. (c).)

S145172

RATHER (JAMES L.) ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **JAMES L. RATHER, State Bar No. 102875**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S145176

RADESKI ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that Robert Matthew Radeski, State Bar No. 174280, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for sixty days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on April 21, 2006. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

FINCH ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **Judith Anne Finch, State Bar No. 114851**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii) as more fully set forth below; that execution of the suspension be stayed; and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for one year and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she must remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S145178

COSCIA ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **NICHOLAS FRANCIS COSCIA**, **State Bar No. 93248**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving Stipulation filed on June 12, 2006. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-half of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2007 and 2008. If is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S145359

CALKINS ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **KENNETH ELBERT CALKINS, JR.**, **State Bar No. 102633**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S145361

COLE ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **LARRY THAYNE COLE**, **State Bar No. 70462**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 13, 2006. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

SIMON ON DISCIPLINE

It is hereby ordered that **CHARLES CARTER SIMON, State Bar No. 86470**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S145364

GALLIGAN ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **MICHAEL FRANCIS GALLIGAN**, **State Bar No. 53572**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including 120 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 21, 2006. It is ordered that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-fourth of said costs be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011. It is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S145365

MANNING ON DISCIPLINE

It is ordered that **MICHAEL EDWIN MANNING**, **State Bar No. 149757**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including four months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 19, 2006, as modified by its order filed July 14, 2006. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-half of said costs be paid with membership fees for the next two membership years. It is further ordered that if Michael Edwin Manning fails to pay any installment within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S146706

MITCHELL ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ROBERT E. MITCHELL, State Bar No. 27043,** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

SWITZER ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ROBERT GORDON SWITZER**, **State Bar No. 143182**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S146710

BERARD ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Roland Gilbert Berard**, **State Bar No. 99311**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146716

BERGHOFF ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Vicki Zale Berghoff**, **State Bar No. 121985**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146717

DEBARAJAS ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Bernadette DeBarajas**, **State Bar No. 122300**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146718

DIETRICH ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Sandra Lee Dietrich**, **State Bar No. 131271**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146719

FLANNERY ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Gerald Patrick Flannery**, **State Bar No. 42036**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146723

GEISZ ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **James William Geisz**, **State Bar No. 84578**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146724

GILBREATH ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **Vicki Lee Gilbreath**, **State Bar No. 137893**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

ROGERS ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **James Martin Rogers**, **State Bar No. 95990**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S146741

GILLMAN ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **JACK GILLMAN**, **State Bar No. 89629**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146747

HARVEY ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ROBERT L. HARVEY, State Bar No. 37157**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146748

HOPKINSON ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **ROBYN KENNING HOPKINSON**, **State Bar No. 97139**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146749

IHLE ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **TIM E. IHLE, State Bar No. 107992**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146750

LONG ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of MARY LONG, State Bar No. 44282, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146752

LORIMER ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **SUSAN JANE LORIMER**, **State Bar No. 129661**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S146753

MCGINNIS ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of **SHARON ELIZABETH MCGINNIS**, **State Bar No. 124788**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BAR MISC 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ATTACHED LIST OF NAMES)